

City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

Revenue Litigation Division 30 North LaSalle Street Suite 1020 Chicago, Illinois 60602-2580 (312) 744-5691 (312) 744-6798 (FAX) http://www.cityofchicago.org **September 14, 2011** 

John J. George, Esq. Attorney at Law Two First National Plaza Suite 400 20 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Re: - Parking Tax

Dear Mr. George:

This Private Letter Ruling ("PLR") is issued pursuant to Section 5 of Uniform Revenue Procedures Ruling #3, in response to your letter dated September 12, 2011 (copy attached), concerning the Chicago Parking Lot and Garage Operations Ordinance, Chapter 4-236 of the Chicago Municipal Code ("Code") and its application to the plans of your client,

to provide parking for its employees in a parking garage located at \_\_\_\_\_\_ (the "Garage").

Your letter of September 12, 2011 supplements your letter of March 4, 2011 (copy attached), and this letter supplements our response dated May 4, 2011 (copy attached).

The only significant difference we note between your letter of September 12, 2011 and your letter of March 4, 2011 is the addition of a reference to "not more than twenty-five (25) parking spaces in the Garage to be reserved for ." The charges for those spaces will not qualify for the exemption set forth in Code Section 4-236-020(g), concerning hospital employees. The remaining opinions set forth in our letter of May 4, 2011 will still apply.

This ruling concerns only the plans of \_\_\_\_\_\_, as described in your letter. It does not address the plans of any other medical institutions, as to which we would need additional information. The facts upon which this ruling is based are subject to review by the Department during the course of any audit, investigation, or hearing, and the conclusions drawn herein shall be binding only if the material facts as recited herein are correct and complete. This opinion will cease to be binding if there is a pertinent change in statutory law, case law, rules or the material facts recited herein, including any attachments.

Very truly yours,

Weston W. Hanscom

**Deputy Corporation Counsel** 

cc: Department of Revenue





LAW OFFICES

## DALEY AND GEORGE, LTD.

MICHAEL DALEY JOHN J. GEORGE

CHRIS A. LEACH RICHARD A. TOTH KATHLEEN A. DUNCAN ADAM J. PENKHUS

TWO FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA SUITE 400 20 South CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603-1835

TELEPHONE (312) 726-8797

FACSIMILE (312) 725-8819

September 12, 2011

## VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Michael Luzzi Deputy Director, Tax Division City of Chicago Department of Revenue 333 S. State St., Room 300 Chicago, IL 60604

In re:	- Parking Tax
Dear Mr. Luzzi:	•
As you know, I represent	will be leasing a parking garage with four hundred
	at the "Garage") from
	tion of not more than twenty-five (25) parking spaces
in the Garage to be reserved for	will operate and use the Garage
in its entirety to provide parking solely f	for its employees and members of its hospital-based
	ermitted in the garage. As per our discussion, I would
respectfully request that you confirm that	no City of Chicago parking tax will be applied to the
parking fees assessed for these parking spa-	ces to employees and medical staff. Should you
require any further information or have	any questions whatsoever, please do not hesitate to
contact me. I look forward to your respons	e. Thank you.

Sincerely,

John J. George

JJG/kad

## JOHN J. GEORGE

ATTORNEY AT LAW
TWO FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA
SUITE 400
20 SOUTH CLARK STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603-1903

(812) 726-8797

March 4, 2011

## VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Michael Luzzi
Deputy Director, Tax Division
City of Chicago Department of Revenue
333 S. State St., Room 300
Chicago, IL 60604

	222 1 41	, *	■ - I aikilig I ak	
Dear Mr. Li	uzzi:			
obligations employees i enter into a the Garage	in a parking gara Parking Space the	Planned Development ge located at License Agreement was a license to use four house monthly parking. the surrounding media	the "Grith the owner of the undred sixty-one (461). The Garage, in its ercal institutions.	) parking spaces within ntirety, will be used for
spaces to	y of Chicago pa employees.	rking tax will be appl	ied to the monthly le	equest that you confirm easing of these parking or have any questions esponse. Thank you.

ohn J. George

Sincerely,



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

Revenue Litigation Division 30 North LaSalle Street Suite 1020 Chicago, Illinois 60602-2580 (312) 744-5691 (312) 744-6798 (FAX) http://www.cityofchicago.org May 4, 2011

Re:

John J. George, Esq. Attorney at Law Two First National Plaza Suite 400 20 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60603

Re: Parking Tax
Dear Mr. George:
This Private Letter Ruling ("PLR") is issued pursuant to Section 5 of Uniform Revenue Procedures Ruling #3, in response to your letter dated March 4, 2011 (copy attached), concerning the Chicago Parking Lot and Garage Operations Ordinance, Chapter 4-236 of the Chicago Municipal Code ("Code") and its application to the plans of your client, to provide parking for its employees in a parking garage located at (the "Garage"). Based on your letter, it is our understanding that will enter into a Parking Space License Agreement with the owner of the Garage, to have a license to use parking spaces within the Garage solely for employee monthly parking. It is also our understanding that the Garage, in its entirety, will be used only for employee parking for the surrounding medical institutions, and that no public parking will be permitted in the Garage.
Code Section 4-236-020(g) states that shall be exempt from the collection of any tax from their employees as provided in this chapter." We believe that the intent of this provision is served by its application to the plans of your client, described above. Although the Garage is owned by alone, it is our opinion that the plans you describe are in keeping with the intent of the exemption.
This ruling concerns only the plans of, as described in your letter. It does not address the plans of any other medical institutions, as to which we would need additional information. The facts upon which this ruling is based are subject to review by the Department during the course of any audit, investigation, or hearing, and the conclusions drawn herein shall be binding only if the material facts as recited herein are correct and complete. This opinion will cease to be binding if there is a pertinent change in statutory law, case law, rules or the material facts recited herein, including any

Weston W. Hanseom

attachments.

Deputy Corporation Counsel

Department of Revenue ee:



